

## **CONNECTING THE DOTS: 07-04-2018**

---

**'Connecting the Dots':** It is an initiative by Team Oracle IAS, where we give a topic to the students in the mornings of Saturday/Sunday. We post our article/views on the topic in the evening.

### **Objectives:**

1. To explain a tough topic by simplifying and breaking it into easily understandable parts.
2. To inculcate the habit of notes making in the students.
3. To explain by example how notes should be made.
4. To help you keep your notes updated.

### **Topic: Executive accountability and Parliament**

Parliament sessions are usually **held thrice a year**: once in February for the Budget Session, once around July or August for the Monsoon Session, and once in November for the Winter Session.

#### **What is the role of Parliament in a democracy?**

The Constitution provides for the legislature to make laws, the government to implement laws, and the courts to interpret and enforce these laws. While the judiciary is independent from the other two branches, the government is formed with the support of a majority of members in the legislature. Therefore, *the government is collectively responsible to Parliament for its actions*. This implies that Parliament (i.e. Lok Sabha and Rajya Sabha) can hold the government accountable for its decisions, and scrutinize its functioning. This may be done using various methods including, during debates on Bills or issues on the floor of Parliament, by posing questions to ministers during Question Hour, and in parliamentary committees.

#### **Who convenes Parliament?**

## **CONNECTING THE DOTS: 07-04-2018**

---

Parliament must be **convened by the President** at least once in every six months. Since the President acts on the advice of the central government, the duration of the session is decided by the government.

Given the legislature's role in keeping the executive accountable for its actions, one argument is that the government should not have the power to convene Parliament. Instead, Parliament should convene itself. Some countries such as the United Kingdom and Australia release an annual calendar with the sitting dates at the beginning of the year.

### **How regularly has Parliament been meeting over the years?**

Over the years, there has been a decline in the sitting days of Parliament. While Lok Sabha met for an average of 130 days in a year during the 1950s, these sittings came down to 70 days in the 2000s. Lesser number of sittings indicates that Parliament was able to transact less business compared to previous years. To address this, the National Commission to Review the Working of the Constitution has recommended that Lok Sabha should have at least 120 sittings in a year, while Rajya Sabha should have 100 sittings.

### **How does Parliament hold the government accountable?**

One of the forums of holding the government accountable for its actions is the Question Hour. During Question Hour, MPs may pose questions to ministers related to the implementation of laws and policies by the government.

In the **16<sup>th</sup> Lok Sabha**, question hour has functioned in Lok Sabha for 77% of the scheduled time, while in Rajya Sabha it has functioned for 47%. A lower rate of functioning reflects time lost due to disruptions which reduces the number of questions that may be answered orally. While Parliament may sit for extra hours to transact other business, time lost during Question Hour is not made up. Consequently, this time lost indicates a lost opportunity to hold the government accountable for its actions.

Further, there is no mechanism currently for answering questions which require inter-ministerial expertise or relate to broader government policy. Since the Prime Minister does not answer questions other than the ones pertaining to his ministries, such questions may either not get adequately addressed or remain unanswered. In countries such as the UK, the Prime Minister's Question Time is conducted on a weekly basis.

### **How is public opinion reflected in Parliament?**

## **CONNECTING THE DOTS: 07-04-2018**

---

MPs may raise issues of public importance in Parliament, and examine the government's response to problems being faced by citizens through: (i) a debate, which entails a reply by the concerned minister, or (ii) a motion which entails a vote. The time allocated for discussing some of these debates or Bills is determined by the Business Advisory Committee of the House, consisting of members from both the ruling and opposition parties. Using these methods, MPs may discuss important matters, policies, and topical issues.

Alternatively, MPs may move (i) a motion for discussing important issues (such as inflation, drought, and corruption), (ii) adjournment of business in a House in order to express displeasure over a government policy, or (iii) expressing no confidence in the government leading to its resignation.

To improve government accountability in Parliament, the opposition in some countries such as the UK, Canada, and Australia forms a shadow cabinet. Under such a system, opposition MPs track a certain portfolio, scrutinise its performance and suggest alternate programs. This allows for detailed tracking and scrutiny of ministries, and assists MPs in making constructive suggestions. Some of these countries also provide for days when the opposition parties decide the agenda for Parliament.

### **Food for Thought:**

***How to improve the functioning of the parliament? (will be discussed in the next series of article in 'Connecting the Dots'.)***

### **Contact us (send feedback to):**

1. Mail : [oracleias.ddn@gmail.com](mailto:oracleias.ddn@gmail.com)
2. Phone : 09997453844(Hemant Sir)
3. Website : [www.oraacleias.org](http://www.oraacleias.org)